|    | Case 2:21-cv-01178-TLN-KJN Docume   | nt 43 Filed 12/15/22 Page 1 of 2 |
|----|---|----------------------------------|
| 1  |   |                                  |
| 2  |   |                                  |
| 3  |   |                                  |
| 4  |   |                                  |
| 5  |   |                                  |
| 6  |   |                                  |
| 7  |   |                                  |
| 8  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |                                  |
| 9  | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |                                  |
| 10 |   |                                  |
| 11 | RICHARD JULIUS DONALDSON,   | No. 2:21-cv-01178-TLN-KJN        |
| 12 | Plaintiff,  |                                  |
| 13 | v.  | ORDER                            |
| 14 | MERRICK GARLAND, et al.,  |                                  |
| 15 | Defendants.   |                                  |
| 16 |   |                                  |
| 17 | Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action seeking relief      |                                  |
| 18 | under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to   |                                  |
| 19 | 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.  |                                  |
| 20 | On October 17, 2022, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein               |                                  |
| 21 | which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to |                                  |
| 22 | the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither party filed       |                                  |
| 23 | objections to the findings and recommendations.   |                                  |
| 24 | The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602         |                                  |
| 25 | F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo.    |                                  |
| 26 | See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed |                                  |
| 27 | the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by   |                                  |
| 28 | the magistrate judge's analysis.  |                                  |
|    |   | 1                                |

## Case 2:21-cv-01178-TLN-KJN Document 43 Filed 12/15/22 Page 2 of 2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations filed October 17, 2022, are ADOPTED IN FULL; and 2. Defendant Potichkin is DISMISSED with prejudice. DATED: December 15, 2022 Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge